

**Baker & Hostetler LLP**  
45 Rockefeller Plaza  
New York, NY 10111  
Telephone: (212) 589-4200  
Facsimile: (212) 589-4201  
David J. Sheehan  
Tracy Cole  
Ona T. Wang  
M. Elizabeth Howe  
David M. McMillan

*Attorneys for Irving H. Picard, Trustee  
for the Substantively Consolidated SIPA Liquidation  
of Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC  
and the Estate of Bernard L. Madoff*

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

SECURITIES INVESTOR PROTECTION  
CORPORATION,

Plaintiff-Applicant,

v.

BERNARD L. MADOFF INVESTMENT  
SECURITIES LLC,

Defendant.

Adv. Pro. No. 08-01789 (SMB)

SIPA LIQUIDATION

(Substantively Consolidated)

In re:

BERNARD L. MADOFF,

Debtor.

IRVING H. PICARD, Trustee for the Liquidation  
of Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

MAGNIFY INC., et al.,

Defendants.

Adv. Pro. No. 10-05279 (SMB)

## **EIGHTH AMENDED CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN**

Plaintiff Irving H. Picard (the “Trustee”) as trustee for the liquidation of the business of Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC (“BLMIS”) under the Securities Investor Protection Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 78aaa, et seq. (“SIPA”), and the substantively consolidated estate of Bernard L. Madoff individually (“Madoff”), and Defendants Magnify, Inc. (“Magnify”), Strand International Investments Ltd. (“Strand”), Premero Investments Ltd. (“Premero”), Yair Green (“Green”), the Yeshaya Horowitz Association (“Yeshaya”), and Express Enterprises Inc. (“Express”) (the Trustee, together with the Defendants, are collectively referred to herein as the “Parties”) hereby submit the following Proposed Eighth Amended Case Management Plan, pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 16 and 26, as incorporated into Bankruptcy Rules 7016 and 7026.

1. Status of Pleadings.

a. All of the current Defendants have answered the Amended Complaint.  
b. On June 7, 2012, the Trustee filed a Notice of Voluntary Dismissal of Osnat Dodelson, dismissing Dodelson from the above-captioned adversary proceeding without prejudice.

c. On June 6, 2014, the Trustee filed a Notice of Voluntary Dismissal of R.H. Book LLC and Robert H. Book (collectively, the “Book Defendants”) dismissing these defendants from the above-captioned adversary proceeding with prejudice.

d. On February 5, 2015, the Trustee filed a Notice of Voluntary Dismissal of Kurt Brunner, dismissing Brunner from the above-captioned adversary proceeding without prejudice.

e. On November 6, 2015, the Trustee and Special Situations Cayman Fund, L.P. (“Situations”), filed an amended stipulation dismissing Situations from the above-captioned

adversary proceeding with prejudice. The amended stipulation was so-ordered on November 9, 2015.

2. Discovery Plan.

a. Initial Disclosures: The Parties do not propose any changes in the form of, or requirements for, disclosures under Rule 26(a). Initial Disclosures required under Federal Rule 26 were served by the Trustee and by Defendants Magnify, Strand, Premero, Green, Yeshaya, Express, and the Book Defendants on **May 7, 2012**, and by Defendant Situations on **May 8, 2012**. On **September 5, 2012**, the Trustee served Amended Initial Disclosures on all Defendants. For those of the Defendants who held BLMIS accounts (including Magnify, Strand, Premero, and Yeshaya; collectively, the “Accountholder Defendants”), as well as those of the Defendants alleged to be principals of the Accountholder Defendants (Green and Brunner, who deny these allegations), the Trustee produced the account documents related to the Accountholder Defendants’ investments with BLMIS including account opening documents, correspondence with the customer, account statements, and documents related to deposits into and withdrawals from the Accountholder Defendants’ BLMIS accounts. For the remaining Defendants (including Express, Situations, and former defendants Dodelson and the Book Defendants; collectively, the “Recipient Defendants”), the Trustee produced correspondence directly related to withdrawals from BLMIS allegedly received by the Recipient Defendants, account statements for the BLMIS account(s) from which the funds were withdrawn, and other relevant documents related to deposits and withdrawals from which the funds were withdrawn. The Trustee has also made available additional documents, as set forth in the Initial Disclosures, in “E-Data Room 1,” as defined in the Order Establishing Expanded Access to Electronic Data Room 1 entered in the above-captioned case (Adv. Pro. No. 08-01789

(SMB)) on January 12, 2012. The Trustee has provided access to E-Data Room 1 to the Accountholder Defendants, Express, and Green. Upon the Defendants' request, the Trustee will also make available additional documents in the "Third-Party Data Rooms," as defined in the Order Establishing Procedures for Third-Party Data Rooms entered in the above-captioned case (Adv. Pro. No. 08-01789 (BRL)) on September 17, 2013. The Trustee has provided access to the Third-Party Data Rooms to the Accountholder Defendants, Express and Green.

b. Subjects on Which Discovery May be Needed: The Parties contemplate that discovery will be needed on all issues relating to the commencement of the BLMIS Ponzi scheme and relating to the avoidance and recovery of the transfers described in the Amended Complaint, and that fact and expert discovery will be needed.

c. Document Demands: Requests for documents may be served as permitted by the Rules and/or any applicable foreign or international law. The Trustee served Defendants Magnify, Yeshaya, Strand, Express, Premero, Green, and Situations with document requests on **August 17, 2012**. Defendants Magnify, Yeshaya, Strand, Express, Premero, Green, and Brunner served the Trustee with document requests on **November 1, 2012**. Defendants Magnify, Yeshaya, Strand, Express, Premero and Green served the Trustee with a second set of document requests on **May 8, 2015**.

d. Interrogatories: The Trustee served Defendants Magnify, Strand, Premero, Yeshaya, Green and Express with Interrogatories pursuant to Local Rule 7033-1(b), on **October 7, 2015**. On **December 30, 2015**, Defendants Magnify, Strand, Premero, Yeshaya, Green and Express served their responses and objections to the Trustee's Interrogatories. Defendants Magnify, Strand, Premero, Yeshaya, Green and Express served the Trustee with

Interrogatories pursuant to Local Rule 7033-1(b) on **December 14, 2015**. The Trustee served his responses and objections on **March 2, 2016**.

Contention based interrogatories pursuant to Local Rule 7033-1(c), if any, must be served no later than **November 3, 2017**.

e. Requests for Admission: Requests for Admission, if any, must be served by **October 27, 2017**.

f. Depositions: All non-expert depositions must be completed by the Fact Discovery Cut-Off date in paragraph 2(g) below. Depositions shall proceed concurrently, with no party having priority. As to depositions taken in the United States, the Parties will endeavor to conclude the majority of depositions within the seven (7) hours provided under Rule 30(d)(1), although the Parties acknowledge that there may be certain depositions that cannot be concluded within the time limit. The Parties will agree to reasonable extensions (or reductions) of the seven (7) hour limit as appropriate, notwithstanding the provisions of Rule 30(d)(1). The Parties will endeavor to limit the number of depositions taken by each party to 10, but agree that each Party may take additional depositions as appropriate without obtaining leave of court, notwithstanding the provisions of Rule 30(a)(2).

g. Fact Discovery Cut-Off: All fact discovery is to be completed on or before **April 6, 2018**.

h. Foreign Discovery: The Parties anticipate that a significant amount of discovery will be directed at individuals and entities located outside of the United States. The Parties contemplate that all requests directed at the Parties will proceed in the normal course under the Rules, as supplemented by any applicable Local Rule. As to requests directed at non-parties located abroad, the Parties understand that resort to applicable foreign and international

law, including the Hague Evidence Convention, may be necessary. The Parties reserve their right to move the Court as appropriate for an extension of any of the deadlines in this Amended Case Management Plan to accommodate circumstances that could arise in the course of international discovery.

i. Experts: Every party that intends to offer expert testimony must make the disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2) on or before **August 24, 2018**. Every party that intends to offer expert testimony in opposition to such disclosures must make the disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2) on or before **October 19, 2018**. No expert testimony (whether designated as “rebuttal” or otherwise) will be permitted by other experts or beyond the scope of the opinion covered by the aforesaid disclosures except upon prior express permission of the Court, application for which must be made no later than 10 days after the date specified in the immediately preceding sentence. All experts may be deposed, but such depositions must occur on or before **January 8, 2019**. The foregoing restriction shall not affect the Parties’ duty to supplement expert disclosures as required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26.

All expert discovery must be completed no less than **60** days before trial.

j. Limitations on Discovery Imposed under the Federal and/or Local Rules:  
Limitations on written discovery will be governed consistent with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and this Court’s Local Rules, subject to amendment by agreement of the Parties or application to the Court for good cause. The Parties agree that they will work in good faith to identify the requisite number of deponents and depositions necessary following service of the Parties’ Initial Disclosures. All deadlines set forth herein shall be subject to amendment by agreement of the Parties or upon application to the Court for good cause shown.

The Parties may produce discovery, including initial disclosures, on a CD-ROM, in E-Data Room 1, or in another similar electronic format. Given the volume of documentation that may be subject to disclosure in this matter, the Parties may produce a summary report, such as an expert report, and provide access to the underlying documentation on which the summary report relies in E-Data Room 1 or in another medium for review by the defendants. With regard to documents produced electronically, the Parties shall comply with the e-discovery protocol attached as Exhibit 1.

In the event of a discovery dispute between the Parties, the Parties shall meet and confer in an attempt to resolve the dispute. If not resolved, the Parties shall comply with Local Bankruptcy Rule 7007-1 with respect to resolution of the dispute.

Unless the Parties agree to a broader scope, absent further order of the Court upon a showing of good cause, discovery will be limited solely and specifically to nonprivileged matters to the extent discoverable under Federal Rule 26(b)(1) which relate to: (a) the commencement of the BLMIS Ponzi scheme; (b) the calculation of net equity for the Accountholder Defendants' BLMIS accounts; (c) the financial condition of BLMIS; (d) the activities of BLMIS, including both fraudulent conduct, including the Ponzi scheme, and legitimate operations; (e) the Accountholder Defendants' account documents and customer correspondence and interactions with BLMIS, its employees, agents and other investors or customers; (f) interactions between Albert Igoin and BLMIS, its employees, agents, investors and other customers; (g) the internal records of BLMIS; (h) transfers of money by, among, and within BLMIS; (i) the Defendants' good faith or lack thereof, including issues related to the defendants' operations and actual or constructive notice of fraudulent activity by BLMIS or anyone acting on its behalf; (j) disclosures made by BLMIS under federal and/or state laws, such

as disclosures to the SEC; (k) the identity of other persons or entities that may be liable for the transfers at issue, whether as subsequent transferees or for some other reason; and (l) potential defenses and cross-claims.

The Trustee shall make his financial professionals submitting expert evidence on behalf of the Trustee available for depositions and may coordinate such depositions in multiple Avoidance Actions to maximize efficiency and use of resources. The Trustee shall notify all interested parties of the proposed date, time and location of any such depositions to be coordinated in multiple Avoidance Actions. To the extent a deposition is so coordinated, the limitations set forth in Federal Rule 30(d)(1) shall not apply, and the parties shall agree on an appropriate duration for the deposition. Depositions of the Trustee are prohibited absent an order issued by this Court upon a showing of good cause.

To the extent that the Trustee proffers an expert witness on an issue that is common to more than one Avoidance Action, the Trustee may coordinate such deposition in multiple Avoidance Actions to maximize efficiency and use of resources. The Trustee shall notify all interested parties of the proposed date, time and location of any such depositions to be coordinated in multiple Avoidance Actions. To the extent a deposition is so coordinated, the limitations set forth in Federal Rule 30(d)(1) shall not apply, and the parties shall agree on an appropriate duration for the deposition. Depositions of the Trustee are prohibited absent an order issued by this Court upon a showing of good cause.

All depositions of the Trustee's fact and expert witnesses shall occur at the offices of Baker & Hostetler LLP, 45 Rockefeller Plaza, New York, NY 10111, or such other location as the Parties may agree. Depositions of Defendants' expert and fact witnesses shall occur at such place as the Parties shall mutually agree.

3. Proposed Modifications of Standard Pretrial Proceeding due to the Special Nature of the Action. The Parties do not presently believe that any modifications are appropriate or necessary, and will contact the Court if their belief in this regard changes.

4. Prospects for Settlement, including whether a Settlement Conference should be Scheduled and whether the Parties will Stipulate to the Trial Judge acting as Settlement Judge. The Parties believe that it would be premature to schedule a settlement conference at this time.

5. Any other Matter that may add to the Just and Expedited Disposition of this Matter. The Parties are not presently aware of any other matter that may add to the just and expeditious disposition of this matter.

6. Trial. The Parties propose that they will confer with each other and the Court at the conclusion of all discovery to schedule a final pre-trial and trial date.

7. Parties and Counsel. The following Parties join in this proposed Amended Case Management Plan, through their counsel.

Dated: New York, New York  
April 28, 2017

/s/ Ona T. Wang  
Baker & Hostetler LLP  
45 Rockefeller Plaza  
New York, NY 10111  
Telephone: (212) 589-4200  
Facsimile: (212) 589-4201  
David J. Sheehan  
e-mail: dsheehan@bakerlaw.com  
Tracy Cole  
e-mail: tcole@bakerlaw.com  
Ona T. Wang  
e-mail: owang@bakerlaw.com  
M. Elizabeth Howe  
e-mail: bhowe@bakerlaw.com  
David M. McMillan  
e-mail: dmcmillan@bakerlaw.com

*Attorneys for Irving H. Picard,  
Trustee for the Substantively Consolidated  
SIPA Liquidation of Bernard L. Madoff  
Investment Securities LLC and Bernard L.  
Madoff*

/s/ Michael Wexelbaum  
Davidoff Hutcher & Citron LLP  
605 Third Avenue, 34th Floor  
New York, NY 10158  
Telephone: (212) 557-7200  
Facsimile: (212) 286-1884  
Michael Wexelbaum  
e-mail: mw@dhclegal.com  
Larry Hutcher  
e-mail: lkh@dhclegal.com

*Attorneys for Defendants Yair Green, Magnify  
Inc., the Yeshaya Horowitz Association, Strand  
International Investments Ltd., Premero  
Investments Ltd., and Express Enterprises Inc.*

So Ordered this 28<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2017.

/s/ STUART M. BERNSTEIN  
HONORABLE STUART M. BERNSTEIN  
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE